

Appl. No. 10/534,087
Amendment dated August 26, 2009
Reply to Office Action Dated June 9, 2009

REMARKS

It is noted that all of the outstanding issues have been withdrawn, and two new issues have been raised. Specifically a Claim Objection regarding Claim 11, and a 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph rejection of Claims 3, 6, 7, 9-11, 13-16, and 18-25.

Claim 11 is objected to for referring to claim 25 twice.

By this amendment, claim 11 has been amended to refer only once to claim 25.

Regarding claims 21 and 25, the recitation of " but at least either a (or b), or c is larger than one" is confusing and makes the scope of the present claim indefinite.

Further regarding claims 21 and 25, the recitation of " a functionality selected from hole transporting, electron transporting and light emitting and a mixture thereof" is indefinite

By this amendment, claims 21 and 25 have been amended to recite the acceptable language suggested by the Examiner. A corresponding amendment has been voluntarily made to claims 7, 11 and 16.

Regarding claims 11 and 16, the phrase "such as" renders the claims indefinite

By this amendment, the phrase in question has been replaced by --- selected from -- .

Appl. No. 10/534,087
Amendment dated August 26, 2009
Reply to Office Action Dated June 9, 2009

Claim 13 has been voluntarily canceled without prejudice.

A minor voluntary amendment to claim 21 has also been made to correct an obvious grammatical error in respect of "polyol" and "thiol".

It is also noted that Claims 3, 6, 7, 9-11, 13-16 and 18-25 would be allowable if amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph, and the claim objection, set forth in the action.

Accordingly, since all of these issues have been overcome by amendments as suggested by the Examiner, allowance of all of these claims is now believed to be in order.